

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,081	07/08/2003	M. Mizanur Rahman	AB-336U	7989
23845 7:	590 06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
ADVANCED BIONICS CORPORATION			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
25129 RYE CA	ANYON ROAD		ADTIBUT	DARCH AUIMANCH
VALENCIA. (	CA 91355		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				n
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
		10/615,081	RAHMAN, M. MIZANUR	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael C. Wimer	2828	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
THE : - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi tte, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 22-29 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applic  Ority documents have been rece  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment	• •	_		
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ' No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekine (6147652).

Regarding Claims 1 and 13, Sekine shows a self-resonating, folded monopole 601, e.g., in Fig. 6 used to be inserted into a portable communication device made of dielectric material so that energy radiates therethrough, connected to the transceiver therein and disposed above a ground plane 602 (or 102) disposed upon the printed circuit board of the device. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan that, in use, the antenna of Sekine may be employed in an portable device, such as a medical device, in order to provide a communication link in such a system. Regarding Claims 3-12 and 14-21, the specific composition and geometry of the housing is obviously dependent upon the system it is to be employed and such characteristics are well within the level of ordinary skill and made obvious in the design; and the specific frequency of operation is obvious dependent upon the service band of operation allocated by the FCC; the dielectric permittivity and other properties and compositions of the conductor, and printed circuit are obvious to the skilled artisan as these are common materials used in antenna construction; and the placement of the antenna within the

Art Unit: 2828

housing relative to the p.c. board and ground plane, and its specific geometry conforming to the housing, are all parameters used to match antennas.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically the device of Sekine is used within a dielectric housing and has conductive portions therein/thereon. The structure claimed is as shown in Sekine. Therefore the rejection stands.

### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

MCW 5/25/2005